統計的機械学習の応用研究



```
· Task: Estimate a signal from a sequence of noisy
observations.
```

```
• A general model:
```

 $p(\boldsymbol{x}_{0:t}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1:t}) = p(\boldsymbol{x}_0) \prod f_k(\boldsymbol{x}_k | \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1}) g_k(\boldsymbol{y}_k | \boldsymbol{x}_k)$

 Bayesian framework: obtain all relevant info. about x_t from the filtering distribution $p(x_t|y_{1:t})$

Oifficult to evaluate the filtering distribution analytically especially for non-linear models.

Approaches for non-linear models in 1990s:

- Sequential Monte Carlo (SMC) / particle filters (PF) [Doucet et al., 2000] - Numerical integration techniques to approximate $p(x_t|y_{1:t})$
- ×Computational complexity
- ×Importance sampling design is not efficient for high-dim problems.
- Ensemble Kalman filter (EnKF) [Rebeschini et al., 1994] • A main numerical technique for solving him-dim forecasting and data assimilation problems
 - A recursive Monte Carlo filter to approximate $p(x_t|y_{1:t})$
- empirically by an ensemble of random samples. **XNot robust to observation errors which are heavy-tailed** and/or skewed distributed.

Recent robust approach:

- GEnKF [Katzfuss et al., 2019]
 - A hierarchical state space model with t-distributed observation errors
 - A combination of an EnKF and a Gibbs sampler
- XNot robust to observation errors which are skewed distributed.

Objective

- Generalize GEnKF by utilizing a more general and flexible distribution for the observation errors, ution^{*}. ⁺[Barndorff-Nielsen, et al., 1982]
- · Enable to model more practical cases with possibly skewed data.

→ Propose GH-GEnKF

- Generalized hyperbolic (GH) distribution
- A normal variance-mean mixture: $y = \mu + \theta \gamma + \sigma \sqrt{\theta} z$
- GH distribution: a prominent example • θ : Generalized inverse Gaussian (GIG) with 3 parameters $(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \chi \ge 0, \psi \ge 0)$
 - Extremely flexible to model heavy tailed and skewed data Include Student t, Laplace, hyperbolic, and normal inverse Gaussian as special cases.
- Use the GH distribution as likelihood to obtain a general filtering technique robust to outliers.

```
y_t | x_t, \theta_t, \gamma_t \sim \mathcal{N} \left( H_t x_t + \gamma_t C_t \circ \theta_t, R_t(\theta_t) \right)
    oxed{x_t | x_{t-1} \sim f_t(x_t | x_{t-1})} Independent of parameters
              \theta_{t,n} \sim GIG(\lambda_t, \chi_t, \psi_t) for n = 1, \dots, n_y
                \gamma_t \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma^2\right)
```

 γ_t : Skewness $oldsymbol{R}_t(oldsymbol{ heta}_t) = \sigma_t^2 ext{diag}(heta_{t,1},\ldots, heta_{t,n_{oldsymbol{y}}})$: Kurtosis

- $(\lambda_t, \ \chi_t, \ \psi_t, \ \sigma_t^2)$: assumed to be known (static) C_t : a known vector composed of explanatory variables
- Forecast step:
 - Draw $x_{t-1|t-1}^{1}, \cdots, x_{t-1|t-1}^{N}$ from $p(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{\theta}_t, \gamma_t, \boldsymbol{y}_{1:t-1}) = p(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{y}_{1:t-1}) p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_t, \gamma_t | \boldsymbol{y}_{1:t-1}).$
 - Propagate each ensemble member using the transition pdf. $x_{t|t-1}^{j} \sim f_t(x_t | x_{t-1|t-1}^{j})$
- Joint distribution of all variables at time t:
- $p(\boldsymbol{y}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}_t, \gamma_t | \boldsymbol{y}_{1:t-1}) = p(\boldsymbol{y}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}_t, \gamma_t) p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_t) p(\gamma_t)$ $\times p(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{y}_{1:t-1})$

Conclusion

- A robust ensemble Kalman filter is proposed which is able to deal with high-dimensional state process in the presence of heavy-tailed and skewed observation errors
- Numerical simulations empirically show that this proposed GH-GEnKF outperforms existing ensemble Kalman filtering techniques.

Evaluation points:

- · Performance for high-dim problems
- · Robustness for observations with heavy-tailed (and possibly skewed) noise

Simulated system:

- The latent process x_t consists in a time-varying spatial physical phenomenon.
- $n_r = 100$ on a one-dimensional spatial domain [1, 100] $n_y = 75$ randomly chosen observation locations
- Experimental conditions:
- Prior pdf of the state:
 - $f_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_t; \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}), \boldsymbol{Q})$
 - \mathcal{M} : state evolution operator
 - Linear dynamics case: $\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}) = 0.9\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$
 - Nonlinear dynamics case:
 - Nonlinear dynamics case. $[\mathcal{M}(x_{t-1})]_l = \frac{x_{t-1,l}}{2} + \frac{25x_{t-1,l}}{1+x_{t-1,l}^2} \ \ \text{for} \ l=1,\ldots,n_x$
- Q : powered exponential covariance function (power 1.8 and scale parameter 10)
- Parameter setting in GH-GEnKF
 - $y_t | x_t, \theta_t, \gamma_t \sim \mathcal{N} \left(H_t x_t + \gamma_t C_t \circ \theta_t, R_t(\theta_t) \right)$
 - $egin{aligned} & \mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1} \sim f_t(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \\ & heta_{t,n} \sim GIG(\overline{\lambda_t}, \underline{\chi_t}, \underline{\psi_t}) \text{ for } n = 1, \dots, n_{\mathbf{y}} \end{aligned}$
 - $\gamma_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$
 - $\boldsymbol{R}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}_t) = \mathbf{1} \operatorname{diag}(\theta_{t,1}, \dots, \theta_{t,n_y})$
 - $oldsymbol{C}_t$: binary variables which could reflect the quality of the sensors (50% of the values: 1 at randor observation locations)
- Compared methods using 100 Monte Carlo runs:
- EnKF (with Gaussian noise, N=1.500)
- GEnKF (with *t*-distributed noise, *N*=30)
- GH-GEnKF (with GH distributed noise, N=30)

Simulation result



The Institute of Statistical Mathematics