

A Bayesian non-parametric method of estimating the background intensity of ETAS model

Yuanyuan Niu 総合研究大学院大学 統計科学専攻 博士課程5年

1 Introduction

The spatio-temporal epidemic type aftershock sequence (ETAS) model, which is an example of a self-exciting, spatio-temporal, marked Hawkes process model, is widely used in statistical seismology. The background intensity is important for assessing seismic hazard and forecasting seismic activities (Molkenthin et al., 2022). This poster shows a Bayesian non-parametric way of estimating the background intensity.

Conditional intensity function

The ETAS model is characterized by its conditional intensity function,

$$\lambda(t, \mathbf{x} | \mathcal{H}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}_\mu, \boldsymbol{\theta}_\varphi) = \mu(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}_\mu) + \sum_{i: t_i < t} \varphi(t - t_i, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i | m_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}_\varphi).$$

Latent branching structure

Each event i has a non observable latent random variable $z_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, i-1\}$, where

$$z_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{event } i \text{ is a background event} \\ j > 0 & \text{event } i \text{ is triggered by event } j \end{cases}. \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{i0} &= p(z_i = 0) = \frac{\mu(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}_\mu)}{\lambda(t_i, \mathbf{x}_i | \mathcal{H}_{t_i}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_\mu, \boldsymbol{\theta}_\varphi)} \\ p_{ij} &= p(z_i = j) = \frac{\varphi(t_i - t_j, \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j | m_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}_\varphi)}{\lambda(t_i, \mathbf{x}_i | \mathcal{H}_{t_i}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_\mu, \boldsymbol{\theta}_\varphi)} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with $p_{i0} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} p_{ij} = 1$.

2 Methodology

All the observations are $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0 \cup \mathcal{D}_\varphi$, where $\mathcal{D}_0 = \{(t_i, \mathbf{x}_i, m_i, z_i = 0)\}_{i=1}^{N_{\mathcal{D}_0}}$ are background events according to a Poisson process with intensity $\mu(\mathbf{x})$, and $\mathcal{D}_\varphi = \{(t_i, \mathbf{x}_i, m_i, z_i \neq 0)\}_{i=1}^{N_{\mathcal{D}_\varphi}}$ are offspring events.

Prior

The background intensity is defined by

$$\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\lambda} \sigma(f(\mathbf{x})) = \bar{\lambda} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-f(\mathbf{x})}}, \quad (3)$$

where $\sigma(\cdot)$ is the logistic sigmoid function.

- $\bar{\lambda} \sim \text{Gamma distribution}$.
- $f(\mathbf{x}) \sim \mathcal{GP}$ prior with zero mean and a covariance function

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' | \mathbf{v}) = v_0 \prod_{i=1}^2 \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - x'_i)^2}{2v_i^2}\right). \quad (4)$$

- $\mathbf{v} \sim \text{Exponential distribution}$.

Likelihood

- Likelihood of the latent branching structure is

$$p(\mathcal{D}, Z | \mu(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta}_\varphi) = \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{N_{\mathcal{D}}} \mu(\mathbf{x}_i)^{\mathbb{I}(z_i=0)} \exp\left(-|\mathcal{T}| \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}\right)}_{p(\mathcal{D}_0 | Z, \mu(\mathbf{x}))} \times p(\mathcal{D} | Z, \boldsymbol{\theta}_\varphi) \times p(Z).$$

- Given a branching structure Z , the background intensity is a Poisson likelihood with (3).

$$p(\mathcal{D}_0 | Z, f(\mathbf{x}), \bar{\lambda}) = \prod_{i=1, z_i=0}^{N_{\mathcal{D}}} \bar{\lambda} \sigma(f(\mathbf{x}_i)) \exp\left(-|\mathcal{T}| \int_{\mathcal{X}} \bar{\lambda} \sigma(f(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}\right).$$

- To reduce the intractable integral inside the exponential term to a constant, an independent latent Poisson process $\Pi = \{\mathbf{x}_l\}_{l=N_{\mathcal{D}}+1}^{N_{\mathcal{D} \cup \Pi}}$ with rate $\lambda(x) = \lambda(1 - \sigma(f(x)))$ is introduced.

$$p(\mathcal{D}_0, \Pi | Z, f(\mathbf{x}), \bar{\lambda}) = \prod_{i=1, z_i=0}^{N_{\mathcal{D}}} \bar{\lambda} \sigma(f(\mathbf{x}_i)) \prod_{l=N_{\mathcal{D}}+1}^{N_{\mathcal{D} \cup \Pi}} \bar{\lambda} \sigma(-f(\mathbf{x}_l)) \exp(-|\mathcal{T}| |\mathcal{X}| \bar{\lambda}). \quad (5)$$

- To obtain a likelihood which has a Gaussian form and is conditionally conjugate to the \mathcal{GP} prior denoted by $p(\mathbf{f})$, the Pólya–Gamma random variables are introduced (Polson et al., 2013).

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathcal{D}_0, \Pi, \omega_{\mathcal{D}}, \omega_{\Pi} | Z, f(\mathbf{x}), \bar{\lambda}) &= \prod_{i=1, z_i=0}^{N_{\mathcal{D}}} \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2} e^{\frac{f_i - f_i^2}{2} \omega_i} p_{\text{PG}}(\omega_i | 1, 0) \\ &\quad \prod_{l=N_{\mathcal{D}}+1}^{N_{\mathcal{D} \cup \Pi}} \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2} e^{-\frac{f_l - f_l^2}{2} \omega_l} p_{\text{PG}}(\omega_l | 1, 0) \\ &\quad \exp(-|\mathcal{T}| |\mathcal{X}| \bar{\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

Conditional posteriors for the k th Gibbs iteration

- $Z_i^{(k)} | \mathcal{D}, \mu(\mathbf{x}_i)^{(k-1)}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_\varphi^{(k-1)} \sim \text{Categorical}(p_i)$
- $\Pi^{(k)} | \bar{\lambda}^{(k-1)}, \mathbf{f}^{(k-1)} \sim \text{PP}(\bar{\lambda}(\sigma(-f(\mathbf{x}))))$
- $\forall i : z_i = 0, \omega_i^{(k)} | f_i^{(k-1)}, \mathcal{D}, Z^{(k)} \sim p_{\text{PG}}(\omega_i | 1, |f_i|)$
- $\forall l : N_{\mathcal{D}} + 1, \dots, N_{\mathcal{D} \cup \Pi}, \omega_l^{(k)} | f_l^{(k-1)}, \Pi^k \sim p_{\text{PG}}(\omega_l | 1, |f_l|)$
- $\bar{\lambda}^{(k)} | Z^{(k)}, \Pi^k \sim \text{Gamma}(N_{\mathcal{D} \cup \Pi} + \alpha_0, |\mathcal{T}| |\mathcal{X}| + \beta_0)$
- $\mathbf{f}^{(k)} | \mathcal{D}, \omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{(k)}, \omega_{\Pi}^{(k)}, \Pi^k, Z^{(k)} \sim \text{Gaussian distribution}$

References

- Molkenthin, C., Donner, C., Reich, S., Zöller, G., Hainzl, S., Holschneider, M., Opper, M., 2022. Gp-etas: semiparametric bayesian inference for the spatio-temporal epidemic type aftershock sequence model. *Statistics and computing* 32, 29.
- Polson, N.G., Scott, J.G., Windle, J., 2013. Bayesian inference for logistic models using pólya–gamma latent variables. *Journal of the American statistical Association* 108, 1339–1349.